

On the rank of elliptic curves

Jorma Jormakka

Department of Military Technology

National Defence University

P.O.Box 07 00861 Helsinki, Finland

jorma.jormakka@mil.fi

Tel: +358 9 181 46495, Fax: +358 9 181 46489

Abstract

The paper describes an approach that may lead to a proof that the Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer conjecture fails.

Keywords: elliptic curves, Euler product, number theory

1 Introduction

Let $P = \{p_1, p_2, \dots | p_j \text{ is a prime, } p_{j+1} > p_j > 1, j \geq 1\}$ be the set of all primes larger than one. An elliptic curve C over the field of rational numbers Q is a curve defined by the Weierstrass equation

$$y^2 = x^3 + ax + b$$

where $a, b \in Z$ and $x, y \in Q$. The discriminant of the cubic equation is $\Delta = -16(4a^3 - 27b^2) \neq 0$. Let N_{p_j} denote the number of solutions to $y^2 = x^3 + ax + b \pmod{p_j}$ and let $a_{p_j} = p_j - N_{p_j}$. The incomplete L-series of the curve C is

$$L(C, s) = \prod_{j \in A_C} (1 - a_{p_j} p_j^{-s} + p_j^{1-2s})^{-1}, \quad (1)$$

where

$$A_C = \{j \in \mathbb{N}, j > 0, p_j \text{ does not divide } \Delta\}.$$

The Euler product (1) converges absolutely if $Re(s) > \frac{3}{2}$ because it is known that $|a_p| < 2p^{\frac{1}{2}}$. $L(C, s)$ has a holomorphic continuation to the whole complex plane. The Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer conjecture is that the Taylor expansion of $L(C, s)$ at $s = 1$ has the form

$$L(C, s) = c(s - 1)^r + \text{higher order terms}$$

with $c \neq 0$ and r the rank of C . The rank of an elliptic curve is defined as the rank of the group of solutions in the rational numbers. The number r in the Taylor expansion of $L(C, s)$ is called the algebraic rank of the curve. The conjecture is thus that the rank and the algebraic rank are equal. Information of the Birch and Swinnerton-Dyes conjecture can be found in [1]. Our notations are as in [2], in [1] N_p is defined as one larger than in [2].

Let $p > 2$ be prime, Z_p the cyclic group of integers modulo p , and $Z_p^* = \{1, \dots, p - 1\}$. The set of quadratic residues modulo p

is the set

$$QR_p = \{x \in Z_p^* | \exists y \in Z_p^* \text{ such that } y^2 \equiv x \pmod{p}\}$$

and the set of nonresidues modulo p is

$$QNR_p = \{x \in Z_p^* | x \notin QR_p\}.$$

Let g be a primitive root of Z_p^* , so

$$Z_p^* = \{g^0, g^1, \dots, g^{p-2}\}.$$

The set QR_p is the subset where g has even powers:

$$QR_p = \{g^0, g^2, \dots\}.$$

Thus, QR_p and QNR_p have equally many elements:

$$\#QR_p = \#QNR_p.$$

2 Discussion of the conjecture and the main idea

The main argument given in [1] why there should be a connection between the rank of C and the zeroes of $L(C, s)$ is that more solutions in the rational numbers would in some way imply more solutions modulo p for many values of p . This argument is rather strange, since the group operation in Q that is used for deriving rational solutions:

$$x_{i+1} = S_i^2 - 2x_i, y_{i+1} = y_i + S_i(x_{i+1} - x_i)$$

$$S_i = \frac{a + 3x_i^2}{2y_i}$$

has a corresponding operation in integers modulo p in the form

$$x_{i+1} \equiv S_i^2 - 2x_i \pmod{p}$$

$$S_i \equiv (s + 3x_i^2)(2t_i)^{-1} \pmod{p}$$

$$t_i \equiv x^3 + ax + b \pmod{p}.$$

That is, in rationals the operation is

$$\begin{aligned}
y_{i+1}^2 &= y_i^2 + 2y_i S_i(x_{i+1} - x_i) + S_i^2(x_{i+1} - x_i)^2 \\
&= x_i^3 + ax_i + b + 2y_i S_i(x_{i+1} - x_i) + S_i^2(x_{i+1} - x_i)^2 \\
&= x_{i+1}^3 + ax_{i+1} + b
\end{aligned}$$

yielding

$$x_{i+1}^2 + x_{i+1}x_i + x_i^2 + a = 2y_i S_i + (x_{i+1} + 2x_i)(x_{i+1} - x_i)$$

which gives

$$3x_i^2 + a = 2y_i S_i.$$

We can write a similar operation in Z_p by replacing $=$ by $\equiv \pmod{p}$. If (x_i, y_i) is a solution in Z_p^* then this operation in Z_p gives another solution (x_{i+1}, y_{i+1}) , $x_{i+1}, y_{i+1} \in Z_p^*$, where

$$y_{i+1}^2 \equiv t_{i+1} \pmod{p}.$$

The operation also takes a pair (x_i, t_i) where $t_i \in QNR_p$ into a pair (x_{i+1}, t_{i+1}) where $t_{i+1} \in QNR_p$. Iterating the operation gives classes of pairs (x_i, y_i) . What can be said is that if there is a solution in Q , then all of the iterated solutions map to the same set of (x_i, y_i) in Z_p . This is not much knowledge since there may be sets of (x_i, y_i) in Z_p that do not correspond to any solutions in the rational numbers. Several sets of solutions in Q may map to the same set of solutions in Z_p . Especially, it is difficult to say why the number N_p of solutions in Z_p should be growing if there are more solutions in Q . There are similar problems, for instance integer knapsack problems, where the corresponding modular problem practically always has many solutions and nothing can be concluded from the number of solutions in integers by counting the solutions modulo primes.

It might appear that Hasse's bound $|a_p| < 2p^{\frac{1}{2}}$ could be interpreted to mean that there are at most $\sqrt{p}$ integer solutions since there are $\sqrt{p}$ squares that are smaller than p and every integer solution is naturally also a solution modulo p . There would be p solutions that do not indicate existence of integer solutions but

if there are more solutions, it would mean integer solutions. Integer solutions would be in some way related to rational solutions. However, this kind of reasoning is totally wrong. If $-1 \in QNR_p$, then $a_p = 0$ by Lemma 1. The rational solutions do not in any way show up in computation of values a_p . It is difficult to see why they should. Thus, simple logical reasons do not provide enough support to the conjecture. If the conjecture holds it holds for some unclear reason. Let us look at some other arguments in favor of the conjecture.

Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer made numerical computations with the same type of curves which are analysed in Lemmas 1 and 3 and their computations showed a plausible relation that they formulated as the conjecture. Plots of the numeric computations are reproduced in [1]. They show five values of d corresponding to ranks 0 to 4, and are stated in [1] to be the original data of Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer. The primes in their computations are smaller than 10^7 and do not necessarily show the correct asymptotic growth, but even if they do in the studied cases, this data is quite small. Some numerical computations are not necessarily supporting the conjecture. In [1] it is noted that no elliptic curve has been proved to have algebraic rank r three or higher, while $r = 0, 1, 2$ have been produced. There are elliptic curves of much higher rank, so the failure to show that the algebraic rank can have higher values may be an indication that there is no direct connection between these ranks. Several large numerical computations of algebraic ranks have been made and they all show very few cases of algebraic ranks higher than 4 or 5. Thus, numerical results are not clear. Let us look at theoretical results.

There are proved theorems stating the following: If $L(C, s)$ is zero at $s = 1$ but the derivative of $L(C, s)$ is not zero at $s = 1$ then the rank of C is one. If the rank of C is one and C is a modular curve then $L(C, s)$ has a zero at $s = 1$. Andrew Wiles in [2] draws the conclusion that since all elliptic curves are modular, then if the rank of C is one, $L(C, s)$ has a zero at $S = 1$. These theoretical results do not necessarily indicate that the whole conjecture is

true. There may very well be some indirect connection for small values of the rank of an elliptic curve. For instance, for an elliptic curve of the simple form $y^2 = x^3 - d^2x$ we can show that if the Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer conjecture holds for $d = 1$ then it holds for every d that is a square. There may be many special cases where the conjecture holds.

The approach of this paper may lead to a counterexample to the Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer conjecture. If no counterexample is obtained, the approach adds supportive evidence and insight to the conjecture but does not directly prove it. The paper contains lemmas that give exact expressions for the numbers N_p for a simple set of elliptic curves. Lemmas 1 and 3 show that there are only two values a_p for each p for elliptic curves of this type. Let us assume that for two different values of d , say d_1 and d_2 , we find solutions (x, y_1) and (x, y_2) in rational numbers. That is, the value x is the same. Let the corresponding elliptic curves be C_1 and C_2 . For each p the corresponding modular equation gives a congruence where y^2 maps to a quadratic residue. The two possible values of a_p correspond to two sets of solutions that satisfy the condition: if in one set x gives a quadratic residue as $t_1 \equiv x^3 - d^2x \pmod{p}$, then in the other set t_1 is quadratic nonresidue. Now x gives a quadratic residue in both sets for every value p . Thus, all numbers a_p are equal. Then the function

$$L(C_1, s)L(C_2, s)^{-1}$$

converges for $s = 1$ and thus the multiplicity of the zeroes of $L(C_1, s)$ is the same as multiplicity of the zeroes of $L(C_2, s)$. If we can find C_1 and C_2 that have different rank, then the Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer conjecture fails. By the construction, both curves have rank at least one, but there are elliptic curves with higher ranks. If there is no reason for the conjecture to be true, this method should find a counterexample provided we can find out the ranks of the tested curves. Let us now proceed with this idea.

3 Some lemmas for numbers N_p

Let us start by solving the numbers N_p for a simple case of elliptic curves.

Lemma 1. *Let $p > 2$ be prime and a an integer. Let QR_p denote the set of quadratic residues modulo p and QNR_p denote the set of quadratic nonresidues modulo p , and let $-1 \in QNR_p$ and $a \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$. The number N_p of solutions to the modular equation*

$$y^2 \equiv x^3 + ax \pmod{p} \quad (2)$$

is $N_p = p$.

Proof: Let

$$A = \{x \in Z_p^* | t_1 \in QR_p, t_1 \equiv x(x^2 + a) \pmod{p}\},$$

$$B = \{x \in Z_p^* | t_1 \in QNR_p, t_1 \equiv x(x^2 + a) \pmod{p}\},$$

and $m_1 = \#A$, $m_2 = \#B$. We can write

$$A_1 = \{x = 1, \dots, \frac{p-1}{2} | t_1 \in QR_p, t_1 \equiv x(x^2 + a) \pmod{p}\},$$

$$A_2 = \{x = \frac{p+1}{2}, \dots, p-1 | t_1 \in QR_p, t_1 \equiv x(x^2 + a) \pmod{p}\},$$

$$B_1 = \{x = 1, \dots, \frac{p-1}{2} | t_1 \in QNR_p, t_1 \equiv x(x^2 + a) \pmod{p}\},$$

$$B_2 = \{x = \frac{p+1}{2}, \dots, p-1 | t_1 \in QNR_p, t_1 \equiv x(x^2 + a) \pmod{p}\},$$

and $m_{1,i} = \#A_i$, $m_{2,i} = \#B_i$, $i = 1, 2$. The sets A_1 and A_2 are disjoint and $A = A_1 \cup A_2$. Similarly, the sets B_1 and B_2 are disjoint and $B = B_1 \cup B_2$. Because $-1 \in QNR_p$

$$A_2 = \{-x = -\frac{p+1}{2}, \dots, -p-1 | t_1 \in QR_p, t_1 \equiv x(x^2 + a) \pmod{p}\},$$

$$= \{-x = p - \frac{p+1}{2}, \dots, p - p + 1 | t'_1 \in QR_p,$$

$$t'_1 = p - t_1 \equiv (-x)((-x)^2 + a) \pmod{p}\},$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \left\{ -x = 1, \dots, \frac{p-1}{2} \mid t'_1 \in QR_p, t'_1 \equiv (-x)((-x)^2 + a) \pmod{p} \right\}, \\
&= \left\{ x' = 1, \dots, \frac{p-1}{2} \mid t'_1 \in QNR_p, t'_1 \equiv x'(x'^2 + a) \pmod{p} \right\} = B_1.
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, $A_1 = B_2$. It follows that

$$m_1 = m_{1,1} + m_{1,2} = m_{1,1} + m_{2,1},$$

$$m_2 = m_{2,1} + m_{2,2} = m_{2,1} + m_{1,1}.$$

Thus, $m_1 = m_2$. Let $a \in QR_p$. Then there are two values $x \in Z_p^*$ that yield $t_1 \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$. Therefore

$$m_1 + m_2 = p - 3 \Rightarrow m_1 = \frac{p-3}{2}.$$

Every $x \in A$ yields two solutions $y, p-y$ to (2). Every x giving $t_1 \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ yields one solution $y = 0$ to (2). The number of solutions is

$$N_p = 2 \frac{p-3}{2} + 3 = p.$$

If $a \in QNR_p$ then $m_1 + m_2 = p - 1$ and

$$N_p = 2 \frac{p-1}{2} + 1 = p.$$

The lemma is proved. $\square$

Lemma 2. *Let $p > 2$ be prime and $d > 0$ an integer. Let QR_p denote the set of quadratic residues modulo p and QNR_p denote the set of quadratic nonresidues modulo p , and let $-1 \in QR_p$. The number of solutions y^2 to the equation*

$$y^2 - 1 \equiv x^2 \pmod{p} \tag{3}$$

satisfying $y^2, x^2 \in Z_p^$ is $\frac{p-5}{4}$.*

Proof. For brevity, we write $y \equiv x$ as a shorthand of $y \equiv x \pmod{p}$. Let us assume that (3) holds. Thus there exists $z \in Z_p^*$ such that

$$y^2 - x^2 = (y - x)(y + x) \equiv 1$$

can be written as

$$y - x \equiv z, \quad y + x \equiv z^{-1}.$$

Then

$$y \equiv 2^{-1}(z + z^{-1}), \quad x \equiv 2^{-1}(z - z^{-1}).$$

Let $\pm\epsilon$ denote the two roots of $z^2 \equiv -1$. If $z \equiv \pm\epsilon$ then $y \equiv 0$. If $z \equiv \pm 1$ then $x \equiv 0$. Two values z and z^{-1} are nonequal if $z \not\equiv \pm 1$. We discard the four values $z \in \{\pm 1, \pm\epsilon\}$ and let z range over Z_p^* . This gives all possible values for y and the number of different y is then $\frac{p-1-4}{2}$. The number of different y^2 is then $\frac{p-5}{4}$ since $\pm y$ yield the same y^2 . Notice that as $-1 \in QR_p$, $\frac{p-5}{4}$ is an integer. This is because both y and $-y$ belong to QR_p or both of them belong to QNR_p . The number of y in QR_p equals the number of y in QNR_p . Thus, $\frac{p-1}{2}$ is divisible by 2. The lemma is proved. $\square$

Lemma 3. *Let $p > 2$ be prime and $d > 0$ an integer. Let QR_p denote the set of quadratic residues modulo p and QNR_p denote the set of quadratic nonresidues modulo p , and let $-1 \in QR_p$ and $d \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$. The number N_p of solutions to the modular equation*

$$y^2 \equiv x^3 - d^2x \pmod{p} \tag{4}$$

is

$$N_p = 8n_1 + 7 \text{ if } d \in QR_p,$$

$$N_p = -8n_1 + 2p - 7 \text{ if } d \in QNR_p,$$

where n_1 is the number of solutions $y^4 \in Z_p^*$ yielding $y^4 - 1 \in QR_p$.

Proof: Let

$$B = \{x \in Z_p^* | t_1 \in QR_p, t_1 \equiv x^3 - d^2x \pmod{p}\}.$$

We change $x' \equiv d^{-1}x \pmod{p}$, $t'_1 \equiv d^{-3}t_1 \pmod{p}$. Let

$$A = \{x' \in Z_p^* | t'_1 \in QR_p, t'_1 \equiv x'^3 - x' \pmod{p}\}.$$

$$A' = \{x' \in Z_p^* | t'_1 \in QNR_p, t'_1 \equiv x'^3 - x' \pmod{p}\}.$$

If $d \in QR_p$ then $B = A$. If $d \in QNR_p$ then $B = A'$. Let g be a primitive root of Z_p^* . Let us write the sets A and A' differently

$$\begin{aligned}
A &= \{g^k(g^{2k} - 1) \in QR_p | k = 0, \dots, p-2\}, \\
A' &= \{g^k(g^{2k} - 1) \in QNR_p | k = 0, \dots, p-2\}, \\
A_1 &= \{g^{2k}(g^{4k} - 1) \in QR_p | k = 0, \dots, \frac{p-3}{2}\}, \\
A'_1 &= \{g^{2k}(g^{4k} - 1) \in QNR_p | k = 0, \dots, \frac{p-3}{2}\}, \\
A_2 &= \{g^{2k+1}(g^{2(2k+1)} - 1) \in QR_p | k = 0, \dots, \frac{p-3}{2}\}, \\
A'_2 &= \{g^{2k+1}(g^{2(2k+1)} - 1) \in QNR_p | k = 0, \dots, \frac{p-3}{2}\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Then $A = A_1 \cup A_2$. We write

$$\begin{aligned}
C &= \{g^{2k} - 1 \in QR_p | k = 0, \dots, \frac{p-3}{2}\}, \\
C' &= \{g^{2k} - 1 \in QNR_p | k = 0, \dots, \frac{p-3}{2}\}, \\
C_1 &= \{g^{4k} - 1 \in QR_p | k = 0, \dots, \frac{p-5}{4}\}, \\
C'_1 &= \{g^{4k} - 1 \in QNR_p | k = 0, \dots, \frac{p-5}{4}\}, \\
C_2 &= \{g^{2(2k+1)} - 1 \in QR_p | k = 0, \dots, \frac{p-5}{4}\}, \\
C'_2 &= \{g^{2(2k+1)} - 1 \in QNR_p | k = 0, \dots, \frac{p-5}{4}\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Then $C = C_1 \cup C_2$. The following relations hold

$$\begin{aligned}
\#A &= \#2C_1 + \#2C'_2, \\
\#A' &= \#2C'_1 + \#2C_2, \\
\#C_2 &= \#C - \#C_1, \\
\#C'_2 &= \#C' - \#C'_1, \\
\#C' &= \frac{p-3}{2} - \#C.
\end{aligned}$$

In the last relation we have excluded the case $y^2 - 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ because $0 \notin QR_p \cup QNR_p$. Thus, we must subtract one from the total sum $\frac{p-1}{2}$ of values g^{2k} . This gives $\frac{p-1}{2} - 1 = \frac{p-3}{2}$. Also holds

$$\#C'_1 = \frac{p-5}{4} - \#C_1.$$

Here we have excluded the case $y^2 - 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ because $0 \notin QR_p \cup QNR_p$. We subtract one from the total sum $\frac{p-1}{4}$ of values g^{4k} . This gives $\frac{p-1}{4} - 1 = \frac{p-5}{4}$. Solving $\#A$ yields

$$\begin{aligned}\#A &= 2\#C_1 + 2\#C'_2 \\ &= 2\#C_1 + 2\#C' - 2\#C'_1 \\ &= 2\#C_1 + p - 3 - 2\#C - \frac{p-5}{2} + 2\#C_1 \\ &= 4\#C_1 - 2\#C + \frac{p-1}{2}.\end{aligned}$$

Inserting $\#C = \frac{p-5}{4}$ from Lemma 2 yields

$$\begin{aligned}\#A &= 4\#C_1 - \frac{p-5}{2} + \frac{p-1}{2} \\ \#A &= 4\#C_1 + 2.\end{aligned}$$

There are two solutions $\pm y$ for each $t_1 \in A$ or A' and there is one solution $y \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ for each of the three values $x \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ or $(\pm x)^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$. Thus $N_p = 2\#A + 3$ if $d \in QR_p$. Let us denote $\#C_1$ by n_1 as in the claim. We have

$$N_p = 8n_1 + 7 \text{ if } d \in QR_p.$$

Similarly we can compute $\#A'$:

$$\begin{aligned}\#A' &= 2\#C'_1 + 2\#C_2 \\ &= \frac{p-5}{2} - 2\#C_1 + 2\#C - 2\#C_1 \\ &= -4\#C_1 + \frac{p-5}{2} + \frac{p-1}{2} - 2 \\ &= -4n_1 + p - 5.\end{aligned}$$

We have $N_p = 2\#A' + 3$ if $d \in QNR_p$. Thus

$$N_p = -8n_1 + 2p - 7 \text{ if } d \in QNR_p.$$

The proof of the lemma is completed. $\square$

Lemma 4. *Let $p > 2$ be prime and $d > 0$ an integer. Let QR_p denote the set of quadratic residues modulo p and QNR_p denote the set of quadratic nonresidues modulo p , and let $-1 \in QR_p$. Then $y^4 - 1 \in QR_p$ is equivalent with the condition that there exists $r \in Z_p^*$ such that $2y^2 \equiv r + r^{-1} \pmod{p}$.*

Proof. For brevity, we write $y \equiv x$ as a shorthand of $y \equiv x \pmod{p}$.

If $2y^2 \equiv r + r^{-1}$ then

$$y^4 - 1 \equiv (2^{-1}r + 2^{-1}r^{-1})^2 - 1 \equiv (2^{-1}r - 2^{-1}r^{-1})^2 \in QR_p.$$

If $y^4 - 1 \in QR_p$ there exists $s \in Z_p^*$ such that $y^4 - 1 \equiv s^2$. Then $(y^2 - s)(y^2 + s) \equiv 1$ and there exists $r \in Z_p^*$ such that

$$y^2 + s \equiv r, y^2 - s \equiv r^{-1}.$$

Thus, $2y^2 \equiv r + r^{-1}$. The lemma is proved . $\square$

Lemma 5. *Let $p > 2$ be prime and $d > 0$ an integer. Let QR_p denote the set of quadratic residues modulo p and QNR_p denote the set of quadratic nonresidues modulo p , and let $-1 \in QR_p$, $2 \in QNR_p$, and $\epsilon \in QR_p$ where $\epsilon^2 \equiv -1 \pmod{p}$, The number of solutions y^4 yielding $y^4 - 1 \in QR_p$ is $\frac{p-5}{8}$.*

Proof: For brevity, we write $y \equiv x$ as a shorthand of $y \equiv x \pmod{p}$. Let $y^4 - 1 \in QR_p$. By Lemma 4 there exists $r \in Z_p^*$ such that

$$y^4 - 1 \equiv (2^{-1}r - 2^{-1}r^{-1})^2, \quad 2y^2 \equiv r + r^{-1}.$$

Let us count the number of solutions y to $y^4 - 1 \in QR_p$ by counting the values of r . We must discard four values of r . Two values, $r \equiv \pm 1$ yield $y^4 - 1 \equiv 0 \notin QR_p$. Two values $r \equiv \pm \epsilon$ yield $2y^2 \equiv 0$. Two values r and r^{-1} map to the same y^2 but there are also two values $\pm y$ that map to the same y^2 . The total number of values of r is thus $p-1-4$. Let n values yield $2^{-1}(r+r^{-1}) \in QR_p$. Then n is the value of $y \in Z_p^*$ yielding $y^4 - 1 \in QR_p$. It follows that the number of $y \in Z_p^*$ yielding $y^4 - 1 \in QNR_p$ is $p-5-n$. Because $\epsilon \in QR_p$ there $\exists m \in Z_p^*$ such that $m^2 \equiv \epsilon$. There is a one-to-one and onto mapping from the solutions

$$y^4 - 1 \equiv x^2$$

to the solutions of

$$y^4 + 1 \equiv x^2$$

defined by

$$y^4 - 1 \equiv x^2$$

mapped to

$$(my)^4 + 1 \equiv (\epsilon x)^2.$$

This means that the number of $y \in Z_p^*$ yielding $y^4 + 1 \in QNR_p$ is

$$p - 5 - n. \quad (5)$$

By Lemma 2 the number of y^2 yielding $y^2 - 1 \in QR_p$ is $\frac{p-5}{4}$. Thus, the number of y yielding $y^2 - 1 \in QR_p$ is $\frac{p-5}{2}$. If $y \in QR_p$ there exists $y_1 \in Z_p^*$ such that $y_1^2 \equiv y$. This y_1 may be in QR_p or in QNR_p . Therefore the number of such values y_1 is n . The number of values y is consequently $\frac{n}{2}$. It is an integer. In fact, n must be divisible by 4 since there are four roots to $y^4 - 1 \equiv x^2$. This gives the number of $y \in QNR_p$ that yield $y^2 - 1 \in QR_p$ as

$$\frac{p-5}{2} - \frac{n}{2}.$$

Let $2 \in QNR_p$. By Lemma 4, $y^4 - 1 \in QR_p \Leftrightarrow \exists r \in Z_p^*$ such that $2y^2 = r + r^{-1}$. Let us assume $r \in QR_p$. Then $\exists r_1 \in Z_p^*$ such that $r \equiv r_1^2$. It follows that

$$2y^2 r_1^2 \equiv r_1^4 + 1 \in QNR_p.$$

The number r_1 can be in QR_p or in QNR_p . By (5) the number of such r_1 is $p - 5 - n$, so the number of r is $\frac{p-5-n}{2}$ since two values $\pm r_1$ yield the same r . Next, let us assume $r \in QNR_p$. We are still assuming $2 \in QNR_p$. So $2r \in QR_p$ and

$$2ry^2 \equiv r^2 + 1 \in QR_p.$$

By Lemma 2 the number of solutions r yielding $r^2 - 1 \in QR_p$ is $\frac{p-5}{2}$. There is a one-to-one and onto mapping $r^2 - 1 \equiv x^2$ to $(\epsilon r)^2 + 1 \equiv (\epsilon x)^2$. Thus, the number of solutions r yielding $r^2 + 1 \in QR_p$ is $\frac{p-5}{2}$. If $r \in QR_p$, we get a solution to $r_1^4 + 1 \in QR_p$ for $r_1^2 \equiv r$ and the number of such r_1 is n meaning $\frac{n}{2}$ values of r . We get the number of solutions r such that $r \in QNR_p$ and $r^2 + 1 \in QR_p$ as $\frac{p-5-n}{2}$. The number n of solutions y yielding $y^4 - 1 \in QR_p$ is the sum of solutions for $r \in QR_p$ and $r \in QNR_p$.

Thus,

$$n = \frac{p-5-n}{2} + \frac{p-5-n}{2}.$$

Solving n gives

$$n = \frac{p-5}{2}.$$

The number of y^4 yielding $y^4 - 1 \in QR_p$ is

$$n_1 = \frac{p-5}{8}.$$

This number must be an integer under the assumption that $\epsilon \in QR_p$. The lemma is proved . $\square$

It may be that the case of Lemma 5 cannot occur, but the author does not know any argument why this should be so. Numerical trials did not show any case where $2 \in QNR_p$, $-1 \in QR_p$ and $\epsilon \in QR_p$.

Lemma 6. *Let $p > 2$ be prime and $d > 0$ an integer. Let QR_p denote the set of quadratic residues modulo p and QNR_p denote the set of quadratic nonresidues modulo p , and let $-1 \in QR_p$ and $\epsilon \in QNR_p$ where $\epsilon^2 \equiv -1 \pmod{p}$, Let n_1 be the number of solutions y^4 to the equation*

$$y^4 - 1 \equiv x^2 \pmod{p}$$

such that $y^4, x^2 \in Z_p^$. Let n_2 be the number of solutions y^4 to the equation*

$$y^4 + 1 \equiv x^2 \pmod{p}$$

such that $y^4, x^2 \in Z_p^$. The numbers n_1 and n_2 satisfy the equation*

$$n_1 + n_2 = \frac{p-5}{4}.$$

Proof. For brevity, we write $y \equiv x$ as a shorthand of $y \equiv x \pmod{p}$. Since $-1 \in QR_p$ there exists $\epsilon \in Z_p^*$ such that $(\pm\epsilon)^2 \equiv -1$. Multiplying

$$y^2 - 1 \equiv x^2$$

by -1 gives

$$(\epsilon y)^2 + 1 \equiv (\epsilon x)^2.$$

This shows that there is a one-to-one and onto mapping between the solutions y^2 to $y^2 - 1 \in QR_p$ and y'^2 to $y'^2 + 1 \in QR_p$. It is onto because every $y_1 \in QNR_p$ can be expressed as $y_1 \equiv \epsilon y^2$ for some y . This follows directly by expressing $y_1 \equiv g^k$ and $\epsilon \equiv g^j$ where g is a primitive root of Z_p^* . Then j and k are odd and $y_1 \epsilon^{-1} \equiv g^{k-j}$ is a square y^2 for $y \equiv g^{\frac{j-k}{2}}$. By Lemma 2 the number of the solutions y^2 is $\frac{p-5}{4}$. Thus, the number of solutions y to $y^2 + 1 \in QR_p$ is $\frac{p-5}{2}$. Multiplying

$$y^4 - 1 \equiv x^2$$

by -1 gives

$$(\epsilon y^2)^2 + 1 \equiv (\epsilon x)^2.$$

We assume that $\epsilon \in QNR_p$, thus $\epsilon y^2 \in QNR_p$. This shows that there is a one-to-one and onto mapping between the solutions y^2 yielding $y^4 - 1 \in QR_p$ and solutions $y \in QNR_p$ yielding $y^2 + 1 \in QR_p$. The number of solutions y yielding $y^4 - 1 \in QR_p$ is denoted by n_1 , thus the number of y^2 yielding $y^4 - 1 \in QR_p$ is $2n_1$. The number of solutions y yielding $y^4 + 1 \in QR_p$ is denoted by n_2 , thus the number of y^2 yielding $y^4 + 1 \in QR_p$ is $2n_2$. The number of solutions y yielding $y^2 + 1 \in QR_p$ is $\frac{p-5}{2}$. The number of solutions $y \in QR_p$ yielding $y^2 + 1 \in QR_p$ is the number of y^2 yielding $y^4 + 1 \in QR_p$, i.e., $2n_2$. Thus the number of $y \in QNR_p$ yielding $y^2 + 1 \in QR_p$ is

$$\frac{p-5}{2} - 2n_2.$$

We get the equation

$$2n_1 = \frac{p-5}{2} - 2n_2.$$

The lemma is proved . $\square$

No exact formula for n_1 was obtained for this special case $-1 \in QR_p$ and $\epsilon \in QNR_p$. There is variation that is difficult to express precisely. For instance, with $p = 13$ we get $n_2 = 2$ and $n_1 = 0$. We can use the following lemma to show that these unknown numbers

n_1 and n_2 cancel in the product of two L -functions $L(C_1, s)$ and $L(C_2, s)$ for the curves C_1 and C_2 as in Lemma 7. Notice, that n_1 and n_2 are not equal. What cancels in the following theorem is that one curve has $N_p = p + m$ and the other have $N_p = p - m$ for some m . The offset m depends on n_1 but it is not directly possible to obtain n_1 because of this cancellation combined with the result of Lemma 6. In fact, for C_2 we would not get the result in Lemma 3, but the following result: The number N_p of solutions to the modular equation

$$y^2 \equiv x^3 + d^2x \pmod{p}$$

is

$$N_p = 8n_1 + 3 \text{ if } d \in QR_p,$$

$$N_p = -8n_1 + 2p - 3 \text{ if } d \in QNR_p,$$

where n_1 is the number of solutions $y^4 \in Z_p^*$ yielding $y^4 + 1 \in QR_p$. This is because four solutions are not possible for C_2 .

Lemma 7. *Let C_1 and C_2 have the Weierstrass forms*

$$C_1 : y^2 = x^3 - d^2x$$

$$C_2 : y^2 = x^3 + d^2x.$$

Then $a_{p,1} + a_{p,2} = 0$ for every p such that $-1 \in QR_p$ and $\epsilon \in QNR_p$.

Proof. By the assumption $-1 \in QR_p$ and $\epsilon \in QNR_p$. There is a mapping $t_1 \equiv x^3 - d^2x$ to $t_1 \equiv x^3 + d'^2x$ by substituting $d' \equiv \epsilon d$. Then we change $x' \equiv d'^{-1}x \pmod{p}$, $t'_1 \equiv d'^{-3}t_1 \pmod{p}$ as in the beginning of Lemma 3. We notice that the value d to be tested is different for the curves C_1 and C_2 . For C_2 we must test if $d' \equiv \epsilon d$ is in QR_p or QNR_p while for C_1 we test if d is in QR_p or QNR_p . There are only two values for N_p from any d^2 and they are always

$$N_p = p + m \text{ if } d \in QR_p,$$

$$N_p = p - m \text{ if } d \in QNR_p$$

for some m as is shown by Lemma 3. Because $\epsilon \in QNR_p$ we get $N_p = p + m$ for C_1 and $N_p = p - m$ for C_2 . They sum to $2p$ and always

$$a_{p,1} + a_{p,2} = 0.$$

Lemma 7 is proved. $\square$

Lemma 8. *The proportion of primes for which $\frac{p-1}{2}$ is even approaches half when p grows.*

Proof: This is seen by considering the Sieve of Eratosthenes. In this algorithm primes are found by reserving a memory vector for all numbers and marking all places empty at the beginning. Starting from 2 the first empty place is taken as a prime and it is marked. Then all multiples of this number are also marked. As a prime p greater than 2 has no common divisor with 4, the marked numbers are equally distributed modulo p' or p'^2 for any other prime p' . The next prime p is always the first empty place and thus $p - 1$ is equally often divisible by 4 or not. (Naturally $p - 1$ is divisible by 2.) $\square$

4 Some lemmas for rational solutions

Lemma 9 is known for ages, but let us give it for completeness.

Lemma 9. *Let $c^2 = a^2 + b^2$, $a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}$, then $\exists h, m, e \in \mathbb{N}$ such that*

$$a = \pm hem, \quad b = \pm \frac{1}{2}h(m^2 - e^2), \quad c = \pm \frac{1}{2}h(m^2 + e^2).$$

Proof: Without loss of generality we can assume that $a, b, c \in \mathbb{N}$. We can write $c^2 - b^2 = (c-b)(c+b) = a^2$. Let $h = \gcd(c+b, c-b)$. Then there exists m and e , $m > e$, $\gcd(m, e) = 1$, such that $c + b = hm^2$, $c - b = he^2$. The claim follows. $\square$

Lemma 10. Let $d \in Z$, $d > 0$. Rational solutions (x, y) with $x \neq 0, y \neq 0$ to

$$y^2 = x^3 - d^2x \quad (6)$$

are of the form

$$(x_1, y_1) = \left(d \frac{m+e}{m-e}, \pm 2 \sqrt{d \frac{em}{m^2-e^2}} d \frac{m+e}{m-e} \right),$$

$$(x_2, y_2) = \left(d \frac{m-e}{m+e}, \pm 2 \sqrt{d \frac{em}{m^2-e^2}} d \frac{m-e}{m+e} \right),$$

where $e, m \in N$, $m > e$, $\gcd(m, e) = 1$ satisfy

$$d = \left(\frac{k}{2j} \right)^2 \frac{m^2 - e^2}{em}. \quad (7)$$

Proof. Let $x, y \in Q$, $x \neq 0, y \neq 0$. Let us write $d = \frac{d}{x} + 1 \in Q$, $\beta = \frac{y}{x} \in Q$. Solving (6) for x and solving x from the definition of α yields

$$x = \frac{\beta^2}{2\alpha - \alpha^2} = \frac{\alpha}{\alpha - 1}.$$

Writing $\beta = \frac{k}{j}$ for some $k, j \in N$ gives

$$\alpha_{1,2} = 1 - \frac{k^2}{j^2 2d} \pm \frac{\sqrt{(2dj^2)^2 + (k^2)^2}}{j^2 2d}.$$

As $y \neq 0$, $k \neq 0$. By Lemma 9, $\alpha_{1,2} \in Q$ if and only if there exist $h, e, m \in N$, $\gcd(e, m) = 1$, $m > e$, such that

$$k^2 = hem, \quad 2dj^2 = \frac{1}{2}h(m^2 - e^2), \quad c = \frac{1}{2}h(m^2 + e^2).$$

If $em = 0$, then $k = 0$ and $y = 0$. This solution gives $j = 2dj^2$

$$\alpha_{1,2} = 1 \pm \frac{2dj^2}{2dj^2} = 1 \pm 1, \quad \alpha_1 = 2, \alpha_2 = 0,$$

$$x_1 = \frac{d}{\alpha - 1} = d, \quad x_2 = -d, \quad y = 0$$

but we have excluded this case in the assumptions. Since $em \neq 0$, let us write $h = \frac{k^2}{em}$. Eliminating h yields

$$d = \left(\frac{k}{2j}\right)^2 \frac{m^2 - e^2}{em},$$

$$c = \frac{k^2}{2}(m^2 + e^2).$$

Simplifying $\alpha_{1,2}$ yields

$$\alpha_{1,2} = \frac{1}{m^2 - e^2} (m^2 - e^2 - 2em \pm (m^2 + e^2)),$$

i.e.,

$$\alpha_1 = \frac{2m}{m+e}, \quad \alpha_2 = -\frac{2e}{m-e}$$

$$x_1 = \frac{d}{\alpha_1 - 1} = d \frac{m+e}{m-e}, \quad x_2 = -d \frac{m-e}{m+e},$$

$$y = \beta x, \quad \beta^2 = \left(\frac{k}{j}\right)^2 = 4d \frac{em}{m^2 - e^2}.$$

This gives the claim. $\square$

From (7) it is clear that if d is a square, there are no rational solutions to (7). There are the three solutions $(0, 0)$, $(d, 0)$, $(-d, 0)$ to (6), so the number of rational solutions of (6) is finite. Because d is a square $d \in QR_p$ for every p and because $L(C_1, 1)$ is finite and nonzero for $d = 1$, it follows that for every such d $L(C_1, 1)$ is finite and nonzero. That is, the Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer conjecture is true for square values of d . In the next lemma we give a set of values d such that (6) has no rational solutions, i.e., the elliptic curve has only finitely many rational solutions, but d is not always in QR_p . Then it is not obviously true that the Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer conjecture holds.

Lemma 11. *Let $d > 2$ be a prime such that $-1 \in QNR_d$ and $2 \in QNR_d$. The equation (7) in Lemma 10 has no solutions $k, j, m, e \in \mathbb{N}$ where $\gcd(m, e) = 1$, $m > e > 0$.*

Proof: We write (7) with m_1, e_1

$$d = \left(\frac{k}{2j}\right)^2 \frac{m_1^2 - e_1^2}{e_1 m_1}$$

and convert it into the form

$$d = \left(\frac{k}{j}\right)^2 \frac{st}{m^2 - e^2}$$

by the substitution $m_1 = m + e$, $e_1 = m - e$, i.e., $2m = m_1 + e_1$, $2e = m_1 - e_1$. We can divide m , e and $m^2 - e^2$ by $\gcd(m, e)$, thus let us assume that $\gcd(m, e) = 1$. Since $d > 0$, we can assume $m > e > 0$. Let us write

$$j^2(m + e)(m - e)d = k^2me. \quad (8)$$

Since $\gcd(m, e) = 1$ it follows that $\gcd(m \pm e, m) = 1$. Indeed, if $m \pm e = c_1r$, $m = c_2r$ for some $r, c_1, c_2 \in \mathbb{N}$, then

$$c_1c_2r = c_2m \pm c_2e = c_1m \Rightarrow (c_1 - c_2)m = \pm c_2e$$

$$\Rightarrow m|c_2 \Rightarrow \exists \alpha \in \mathbb{N} \text{ such that } c_2 = \alpha m$$

$$\Rightarrow m = \alpha mr \Rightarrow \alpha r = 1 \Rightarrow r = 1.$$

Similarly, $\gcd(m \pm e, e) = 1$. We have

$$\gcd(m + e, m - e) = 1 \text{ or } 2$$

since let $m + e = c'_1r$, $m - e = c'_2r$ for some $c'_1, c'_2, r \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $2m = (c'_1 + c'_2)r$, $2e = (c'_1 - c'_2)r$. As $\gcd(2m, 2e) = 2 \geq r$, it follows that $r = 1$ or $r = 2$. We write (8) as

$$j^2r^2c'_1c'_2 = k^2me$$

where $\gcd(r^2c'_1c'_2, me) = \gcd(m^2 - e^2, em) = 1$. It follows that $d|me$ and that $med^{-1} = j^2$ is a square. As d is prime, there are only two possibilities:

$$m = s^2d, e = t^2 \text{ or } m = s^2, e = t^2d$$

where $s, t > 0$ and $\gcd(s, t) = 1$ because $\gcd(m, e) = 1$. Then $r^2 c'_1 c'_2 = k^2$ and $\gcd(c'_1, c'_2) = 1$ or 2 . There are only two possibilities

$$rc'_1 = c_1^2, rc'_2 = c_2^2 \text{ or } rc'_1 = 2c_1^2, rc'_2 = 2c_2^2,$$

for some $c_1, c_2 \in \mathbb{N}$. We have four cases in total.

Case 1. $m = s^2 d, e = t^2, rc'_1 = c_1^2, rc'_2 = c_2^2$. Then $m + e = c_1^2$
 $m - e = c_2^2$ give

$$s^2 d + t^2 = c_1^2, s^2 d - t^2 = c_2^2.$$

The latter equation yields $-1 \equiv (c_2 t^{-1})^2 \pmod{d}$ which is impossible since $-1 \in QNR_d$.

Case 2. $m = s^2 d, e = t^2, rc'_1 = 2c_1^2, rc'_2 = 2c_2^2$. Then $m + e = 2c_1^2$
 $m - e = 2c_2^2$ give

$$s^2 d + t^2 = 2c_1^2, s^2 d - t^2 = 2c_2^2.$$

Multiplying the modular equations

$$t^2 \equiv 2c_1^2 \pmod{d}, -t^2 \equiv 2c_2^2 \pmod{d}$$

yields $-1 \equiv (2c_1 c_2 t^{-2})^2 \pmod{d}$ which is impossible since $-1 \in QNR_d$.

Case 3. $m = s^2, e = t^2 d, rc'_1 = c_1^2, rc'_2 = c_2^2$. Then $m + e = c_1^2$
 $m - e = c_2^2$ give

$$s^2 + t^2 d = c_1^2, s^2 - t^2 d = c_2^2.$$

Thus

$$2s^2 = c_1^2 + c_2^2$$

so

$$4s^2 = c_1^2 + 2c_1 c_2 + c_2^2 + c_1^2 - 2c_1 c_2 + c_2^2$$

$$(2s)^2 = (c_1 + c_2)^2 + (c_1 - c_2)^2.$$

It follows that $\exists h', e', m' \in \mathbb{N}, \gcd(m', e') = 1$ such that

$$c_1 + c_2 = h' e' m', c_1 - c_2 = \frac{1}{2} h' (m'^2 - e'^2),$$

$$2s = \frac{1}{2}h'(m'^2 + e'^2).$$

Solving c_1, c_2, s yields

$$c_1 = \frac{1}{4}h'(2e'm' + m'^2 - e'^2),$$

$$c_2 = \frac{1}{4}h'(2e'm' + e'^2 - m'^2),$$

$$s = \frac{1}{4}h'(m'^2 + e'^2).$$

Since

$$2t^2d = c_1^2 - c_2^2 = (c_1 - c_2)(c_1 + c_2)$$

we get

$$d = \frac{1}{4}h'^2e'm'(m'^2 - e'^2).$$

As d is prime and $\gcd(e', m') = 1$ it follows that $2 = h'$ is needed to compensate for the term $\frac{1}{4}$. With the single terms $e'm'$ there are only the following possibilities since $m' > e'$, either

$$m' = d, e' = 1 \Rightarrow 1 = d^2 - 1 \Rightarrow d^2 = 2.$$

or

$$e'm' = 1, m'^2 - e'^2 = d \Rightarrow m' = e' = 1 \Rightarrow d = 0.$$

In both cases we have a contradiction.

Case 4. $m = s^2$, $e = t^2d$, $rc'_1 = 2c_1^2$, $rc'_2 = 2c_2^2$. Then $m + e = c_1^2$
 $m - e = c_2^2$ give

$$s^2 + t^2d = 2c_1^2, \quad s^2 - t^2d = 2c_2^2.$$

Thus

$$s^2 = c_1^2 + c_2^2, \quad dt^2 = c_1^2 - c_2^2 = (c_1 - c_2)(c_1 + c_2).$$

Let us notice that $m + e = 2c_1^2$ and

$$\gcd(m + e, e) = 1 \Rightarrow \gcd(c_1, t) = 1,$$

$$\gcd(m - e, e) = 1 \Rightarrow \gcd(c_2, t) = 1.$$

If $c_1 + c_2 = \alpha_1 t$, $c_1 - c_2 = \alpha_2 t$ for some $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in \mathbb{N}$, then

$$2c_1 = (\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)t \Rightarrow t = 2, c_1 = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2,$$

$$2c_2 = (\alpha_1 - \alpha_2)t \Rightarrow t = 2, c_1 = \alpha_1 - \alpha_2.$$

Thus, $dt^2 = c_1^2 - c_2^2 = \alpha_1 \alpha_2 t^2$. It follows that $d = \alpha_1 \alpha_2$ and as d is prime and necessarily $\alpha_1 > \alpha_2$ it follows that $\alpha_1 = d$, $\alpha_2 = 1$. Then $c_1 = d + 1$ and $c_2 = d - 1$. Consequently $s^2 = c_1^2 + c_2^2 = 2(d^2 - 1)$ is even, so m is even. Since $s^2 + dt^2 = 2c_1^2$ it would follow that t is also even as d is odd. Then $\gcd(m, e) \neq 1$, which is a contradiction. It is necessary in Case 4 that m and e are both odd.

Thus, either

$$t^2 | c_1 + c_2 \Rightarrow c_1 - c_2 | d \Rightarrow c_1 - c_2 = d \Rightarrow t^2 = c_1 + c_2,$$

or

$$t^2 | c_1 - c_2 \Rightarrow c_1 + c_2 | d \Rightarrow c_1 + c_2 = d \Rightarrow t^2 = c_1 - c_2.$$

In the first case

$$2c_1 = t^2 + d \geq 0, \quad 2c_2 = t^2 - d \geq 0.$$

In the second case

$$2c_1 = d + t^2 \geq 0, \quad 2c_2 = d - t^2 \geq 0.$$

In both cases we can derive in a similar way:

$$s^2 = c_1^2 + c_2^2 \Rightarrow (2s)^2 = (2c_1)^2 - (2c_2)^2$$

yields

$$(2s)^2 = (d + t^2)^2 - (d - t^2)^2.$$

This implies that there exist $h', e', m' \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$d + t^2 = h' e' m', \quad d - t^2 = \frac{1}{2} h' (m'^2 - e'^2).$$

Thus

$$4d = h'((m' + e')^2 - 2e'^2)$$

i.e., as $h' \not\equiv 0 \pmod{d}$

$$2 \equiv (m'^2 + e'^2)^2 e'^{-2} \pmod{d}$$

which is a contradiction since $2 \in QNR_d$. Lemma 11 is proved. $\square$

5 Finding a contradiction

We have to look for two solutions (x, y_1) and (x, y_2) for two different values d_1 and d_2 in Lemma 10. Clearly, from (7) follows that d_i , $i = 1, 2$, can be selected to have the form

$$d_i = e_i m_i (m_i^2 - e_i^2).$$

Let us for simplicity take the solutions of the type (x_1, y_1) in Lemma 10. We also could take the other solution (x_2, y_2) in Lemma 10 in case this choice does not work. Then

$$x = d_1 \frac{m_1 + e_1}{m_1 - e_1} = d_2 \frac{m_2 + e_2}{m_2 - e_2}.$$

Inserting d_i yields

$$e_1 m_1 (m_1 + e_1)^2 = e_2 m_2 (m_2 + e_2)^2$$

from which we can solve m_2 and try to find solutions. If solutions are found we have (x, y_1) and (x, y_2) and can proceed to show that the elliptic curves C_1 and C_2 have different ranks.

6 Conclusions

The paper gives an approach which may lead to a proof that the Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer conjecture fails.

References

- [1] K. Rubin and A. Silverberg, Ranks of Elliptic Curves. Bulletin of Amer. Math. Soc. Vol. 39, No. 4, pp. 455-474, 2002.

- [2] A. Wiles, The Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer Conjecture. *available on-line at* www.claymath.org.